

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/076,971 02/15/2002		Hamid Hojaji	50699/7	1426			
1912	7590	09/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
AMSTER, 90 PARK A		EIN & EBENSTE	CHAPMAN, J	CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E			
NEW YORK		016	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	•		3635				
				DATE MAII ED: 00/22/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action 6	10/076,971		HOJAJI ET AL.						
Office Action S	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Chapman E Jean		3635					
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on <u>09 J</u>	luly 2004 .							
2a) This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-57</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1.☐ Certified copies	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
Notice of References Cited (PTO-2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D Information Disclosure Statement	rawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 5) 6) 		r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Act	tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 5					

د.خت

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukumoto et al (5069960) FU. FU discloses a foam glass tile with a closed outer pore skin and having a density in the range of 14.16 lb/cu ft- 92.6 lb/cu ft (.2-1.3 gm/cc). The former value includes the recited density between 30-100 lb/cu ft.

Regarding the weight: It would have been obvious to construct the tile of any weight deemed suitable for its intended purpose and use. Obviously for one employed in natural weather disaster would need a heavier weight to withstand the elements.

The tile further comprises an interior portion 10 and the tile outer skin comprises an additive 12 or a pigment to make its surface appear a different color that the interior portion of the tile. The tile may be used in a building or lightweight building façade (column 6, lines 1-12) and having the same recited values as the prior art the same is capable of withstanding earthquake damage.

Glass is known to be made with a textured or glazed surface for aesthetic appeal. One of ordinary skill in the art would have added these decorative effects for aesthetic appeal. The same has no bearing on the overall structure and function of the glass.

at one. 5555

The tile's surface area and thickness being at least two inches has been considered a matter of choice and routine procedural design; one of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated the use and purpose of the tile and would have selected the above values to be commensurate with the intended use of the tile. Also true is the fact that the larger the tile, the heavier the tile; thus a 2 by 2 foot tile would be lighter than a 4 by 4 foot tile having the same density values.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Most of applicant's arguments are moot given the new ground of rejection.

However, applicant extensively argues that the prior art would have not been motivated to make or use the recited invention. Proof of what the prior art has not been motivated to do or not to do hasnot been given. Applicant does not prove that the prior art cannot make it; neither does applicant prove that the invention of the instant application is not with in the scope of the prior art. With the range of density values met by the prior art, it is clear that applicant's disclosure is within the range of the teaching of FU because density is a result of weight over size dimensions. With the range of density values met by FU, it is clear that to make a stronger or heavier tile one need only to make it bigger in length, size or thickness.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E Jeanette whose telephone number is 703-

Art Unit: 3635

308-1310. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 8:30-6:00, every other fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Friedman Carl can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jec

Page 4